

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/754,018 01/03/2001 YAMAP0748US Motoshi Ito 3434 7590 **EXAMINER** 05/27/2005 Neil A. DuChez HENNING, MATTHEW T Renner, Otto, Boisselle, & Sklar, L.L.P. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 19th Floor 1621 Euclid Avenue 2131 Cleveland, OH 44115

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Å	·	7

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/754,018	ITO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Matthew T. Henning	2131

	Matthew T. Henning	2131					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F).	of the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW O				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or 	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).): <u>Claims 1, 3, and 6-9 under 35 U</u> llowable if submitted in a separate	SC 102(b) See Sect e, timely filed amendm	ion Other. nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		/ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .		•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut hafara ar an tha data of filing a l	Nation of Annual will a	at he entered				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: All independent claims now contain a new limitation that the data scramble circuit "acts as a part of an error correction circuit". This new limitation is similar to claim 4, but differs enough such that it would require further search if it were entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are all directed towards the newly proposed limitation in all the independent claims. This limitation has not been entered and therefore will not be addressed.

Continuation of 13. Other: The rejection of claims 1, 3, and 6-9 under 35 USC 102(b) in the final action dated 2/17/2005 is withdrawn. As applicant has pointed out, this anticipation rejection was a mistake and is therefore withdrawn. Examiner notes that claims 1-9 stand rejected under 35 USC 103 as set forth in the final action dated 2/17/2005.

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100